



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,459	03/11/2004	Kurt Brooks Uhler	N0184 US	7407

37583 7590 10/31/2006

NAVTEQ NORTH AMERICA, LLC
222 MERCHANDISE MART
SUITE 900, PATENT DEPT.
CHICAGO, IL 60654

EXAMINER

CAO, PHUONG THAO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2164

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,459	UHLIR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong-Thao Cao	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phuong-Thao Cao, Examiner.

(3) Grace Law O'Brien, Attorney (Reg. No. 48,872).

(2) Luke Wassum, Primary Examiner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Paulauskas et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.




Phuong-Thao Cao 10/26/06
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney gave an overview of the claimed invention, then distinguished between the claimed invention and prior art, and submitted an argument that "Paulauskas does not disclose or teach the features of providing a second set of data from the source database and a geographic data tool set as recited in claim 1". Examiner showed where in the prior art those features were taught and how she interpreted Applicant's claim language in light of the specification.

In conclusion, Attorney agreed with Examiners that the prior art still read on the claimed invention, and Examiners agreed with the Attorney's suggestion to fax in a proposed amendment for some opinions before actually filing.


27 October 2006